# ESG opportunities within high yield

ESG considerations are a core part of the investment process of Artemis' global high yield bond strategy — as can be said for many of their competitors. But co-manager Jack Holmes argues that ESG investing must look beyond sustainability ratings and exclusion lists to be meaningful. He sets out why the team's ESG integration approach helps them to unlock attractive opportunities often overlooked by others.

**Jack Holmes** 

19 April 2022

FOR PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS AND/OR QUALIFIED INVESTORS AND/OR FINANCIAL INTERMEDIARIES ONLY. NOT FOR USE WITH OR BY PRIVATE INVESTORS. CAPITAL AT RISK. All financial investments involve taking risk which means investors may not get back the amount initially invested.

Reference to specific stocks should not be taken as advice or a recommendation to invest in them.

n our last article we discussed the benefits of a high-conviction approach and referenced the benefit to ESG integration. In this piece, we are going to touch on what this looks like in practical terms within the Artemis Global High Yield funds

# **Negative screening**

ESG considerations are a core part of our investment process. We start with a list of excluded areas ('negative screening'). We spent a long time considering whether exclusion lists were right for our strategy, given our index-agnostic, unconstrained approach. We came to the conclusion that there were areas we simply would not invest in, and it made sense for us to disclose them¹ up-front to investors. It also fitted in well with our investment process, in which we are not aiming to either cover or invest in every issuer within the index. There are over 4,000 bonds in the global high-yield bond index, and our portfolio holds between 60 and 100 securities. By excluding a number of industries at the start, it helps us to focus our analytical efforts on the areas where we believe we can add meaningful value.

# Our approach versus index-led funds

This approach also shows how our high-conviction portfolio can benefit where index-led funds cannot. Put simply, excluding c.15% of the over 4,000 issues in the global high yield bond market because they face significant ESG issues does not materially impair our ability to select a portfolio of 60-100 securities. However, if you are an index-led investor it does meaningfully weaken your ability to perform – because that 15% is a vital part of the risk profile of the index (not least because it broadly tends to be from particularly high-beta sectors, such

### **ESG** ratings

ESG investing needs to go further than just using exclusion lists in order to be meaningful. Many of our competitors use ESG ratings provided by third parties (the largest two being MSCI and Sustainalytics). They then focus their investment on companies that are highly rated and avoid those that are poorly rated.

However, we see four major problems with this:

- Third-party ratings are formulaic 'box-ticking' exercises. MSCI, for example, covers almost 10,000 companies. In order to do this, it needs to have a standardised process to decide on ratings. But every company is different. ESG issues are inherently non-standard, and are skewed towards qualitative rather than quantitative factors. None of these fit well within a standardised, automated approach.
- 2. These ratings are largely tailored to equity investors: they tend to focus on board independence and voting rights, which are of less interest to bondholders. They also ignore bond documentation and management's views on their responsibilities to debtholders, both of which are obviously very important to investors in bonds.
- 3. They tend to be backwards looking. Due to being formulaic and standardised, third-party ratings use backwards-looking data. This means they miss looking forward. The problem was demonstrated in the case of WireCard, which had median ESG ratings from MSCI and Sustainalytics in 2020 when it collapsed under a fraud investigation.
- 4. Coverage is limited. Because third-party ratings tend to be provided for equity holders, unlisted companies (such as private equity and family owned companies, which make up a very significant part of the high yield market) tend to not be covered.

We believe many high-yield investors use third-party ratings simply because it is the only possible way to get data for most of the hundreds of companies within their portfolio. By instead focusing our efforts on a small selection of credits (we have on average held 70 securities in the fund), we can conduct qualitative, indepth research to fully integrate ESG into the investment process.

Our process has three key elements:

- Focus our energy: we believe the transition to a low-carbon future is both the most pressing matter we can influence and also the most impactful factor within high-yield market fundamentals. So we focus our efforts here. We have a formal target of having a lower carbon intensity than the wider index, but this is only a small part of our approach. We will of course incorporate consideration of Social and Governance factors within our investments. But we believe the capital intensive and high emission nature of much of the high-yield market makes environmental factors the most vital part of our ESG analysis.
- Intertwine our ESG analysis within our analysis of other factors, such as business fundamentals, market structure, and financial statements. We

need to consider how ESG factors are going to affect the ability of our companies to meet their coupon and debt repayments. Only in this way can we achieve truly sustainable cash flows, which we believe are vital to a high yield strategy.

• Identify both threats and opportunities from ESG factors within the market. High yield is inherently a downside-focused market (for the simple reason that a best-case scenario for us is that a company pays us back in full while a worst case scenario is a total loss of investment). Yet we believe that ESG can help unlock some positive opportunities as well as providing an improved lens through which to consider risk.

### **Adient**

As an example of a positive opportunity, one of our largest investments over the last few years has been in Adient, the world's largest producer of car seats. MSCI rate Adient on the second-lowest possible ESG rating (out of seven possible levels) because, as an auto parts company, they regard Adient's lack of a cleantech department as a risk in a market that is transitioning towards electric vehicles.

While this analysis makes sense for a business that produces parts for petrol engines, it makes less sense for a maker of car seats, which electric cars also need. Indeed, further analysis would show that a need for electric vehicles to incorporate lightweighting (to offset the greater weight of the battery within the car) and having heated seats (as, unlike a petrol engine, the car's powertrain doesn't generate a significant amount of heat itself) are actually higher-margin opportunities for Adient. So while the box-ticking approach may suggest risks, in-depth analysis and ESG integration show not only the absence of risk, but also the significant opportunity.

<sup>1</sup>These consist of producers of tobacco; producers of weapons; thermal coal producers and power generators; nuclear energy providers; hydraulic fracturing oil & gas companies; Arctic drilling; and companies that have breached the principles of the UN Global Compact. You can find more detail about our investment policy and risk on the fund page

Jack Holmes is co-manager of Artemis Funds (Lux) - Global High Yield Bond

#### **Jack Holmes**



Manages:
'Global high yield', 'high income' and
'monthly distribution' strategies

Jack co-manages Artemis' 'global high yield', 'high income' and 'monthly distribution' strategies

FOR PROFESSIONAL INVESTORS AND/OR QUALIFIED INVESTORS AND/OR FINANCIAL INTERMEDIARIES ONLY. NOT FOR USE WITH OR BY PRIVATE INVESTORS. CAPITAL AT RISK. All financial investments involve taking risk which means investors may not get back the amount initially invested.

To ensure you understand whether a fund is suitable for you, please read its Key Investor Information Document or Key Information Document which are available, along with the fund's Prospectus (or in the case of investment trusts, Investor Disclosure Document and Articles of Association), in the literature library.

Investment in a fund concerns the acquisition of units/shares in the fund and not in the underlying assets of the fund.

Reference to specific shares or companies should not be taken as advice or a recommendation to invest in them.

For information on sustainability-related aspects of a fund, visit the relevant fund page on this website.

For information about Artemis' fund structures and registration status, visit artemisfunds.com/fund-structures

Any research and analysis in this communication has been obtained by Artemis for its own use. Although this communication is based on sources of information that Artemis believes to be reliable, no guarantee is given as to its accuracy or completeness.

Any forward-looking statements are based on Artemis' current expectations and projections and are subject to change without notice.

Third parties (including FTSE and Morningstar) whose data may be included in this document do not accept any liability for errors or omissions. For information, visit artemisfunds.com/third-party-data.

#### Important information

The intention of Artemis' investment insights' articles is to present objective news, information, data and guidance on finance topics drawn from a diverse collection of sources. Content is not intended to provide tax, legal, insurance or investment advice and should not be construed as an offer to sell, a solicitation of an offer to buy, or a recommendation for any security or investment by Artemis or any third-party. Potential investors should consider the need for independent financial advice. Any research or analysis has been procured by Artemis for its own use and may be acted on in that connection. The contents of articles are based on sources of information believed to be reliable; however, save to the extent required by applicable law or regulations, no guarantee, warranty or representation is given as to its accuracy or completeness. Any forward-looking statements are based on Artemis' current opinions, expectations and projections. Articles are provided to you only incidentally, and any opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. The source for all data is Artemis, unless stated otherwise. The value of an investment, and any income from it, can fall as well as rise as a result of market and currency fluctuations and you may not get back the amount originally invested.

This is a marketing communication; refer to the fund prospectus and KIID/KID before making any final investment decisions. Issued by Artemis Fund Managers Limited and Artemis Investment Management LLP, which are authorised and regulated by the

### Financial Conduct Authority.

Artemis Fund Managers Limited, registered in England No 1988106. Registered Office: Cassini House, 57 St James's Street, London SW1A 1LD. Artemis Investment Management LLP, registered in England No OC354068. Registered Office: Cassini House, 57 St James's Street, London SW1A 1LD.